UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,329	04/22/2004	Dominick Cirone	042013-0311338	6802
909 DILL CRUDV V	7590 08/22/2007 WNTHROP SHAW PITTI	EXAMINER		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP Eric S. Cherry - Docketing Supervisor			MAI, TRI M	
	P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			PAPER NUMBER
,		•	3781	
				•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/829,329	CIRONE, DOMINICK			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tri M. Mai	3781			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any rej	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPAIR AND	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1) 🗌 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) 🔲 🧻	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.				
3) 🗌 🖇	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)🛛 (4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□ (6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/829,329

Art Unit: 3781

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Group 1: embodiment of figures 1-4,

Group 2: embodiment of figure 5,

Group 3: embodiment of figure 6,

Group 4: embodiment of figure 7,

Group 5: embodiment of figure 8,

Group 6: embodiment of figure 9,

Group 7: embodiment of figure 10,

Group 8: embodiment of figures 11A, and 11B,

Group 9: embodiment of figure 12A,

Group 10: embodiment of figure 12B,

Group 11: embodiment of figure 12C,

Group 12: embodiment of figures 13A and 13B,

Group 13: embodiment of figures 14A and 14B, and

Group 14: embodiment of figure 15

The species are independent or distinct because each species is unique with its own construction and the combination with the cover.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,329

Art Unit: 3781

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3781

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tri M. Mai
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3781